

**Ministry of  
Municipal Affairs  
and Housing**

Office of the Minister

777 Bay Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto ON M7A 2J3  
Tel.: 416 585-7000

**Ministère des  
Affaires municipales  
et du Logement**

Bureau du ministre

777, rue Bay, 17<sup>e</sup> étage  
Toronto ON M7A 2J3  
Tél.: 416 585-7000



234-2022-575

February 7, 2022

Dear Head of Council:

Recently, Premier Ford and I held an Ontario-Municipal Housing Affordability Summit and the Rural Housing Affordability Roundtable.

These conversations provided an opportunity to celebrate and share good work across jurisdictions and identify further opportunities for collaboration as the province and municipalities continue to address housing affordability. Additional funding that our government announced will help municipalities build more homes faster, including through the new Streamline Development Approval Fund, Audit and Accountability Fund, Municipal Modernization Program, the Rural Economic Development Program and the Ontario Community Infrastructure Fund.

In our efforts to unlock housing supply, we know that one size does not fit all and that municipalities in different parts of Ontario face unique challenges. As we continue this dialogue, our government will ensure municipalities have the tools and resources they need to unlock housing in every community across Ontario.

I welcome further advice from you or your staff about what has worked well in your municipality and other opportunities to increase the supply and affordability of market housing. Feedback can be sent to [housingsupply@ontario.ca](mailto:housingsupply@ontario.ca) by Friday, February 15, 2022.

These are important conversations as we look forward to further collaborating with municipalities in our work towards increasing Ontario's housing supply.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Steve Clark".

Steve Clark  
Minister

**Ministry of Municipal  
Affairs and Housing**

**Ministère des Affaires  
Municipales et du Logement**

Office of the Deputy Minister

Bureau du ministre

777 Bay Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto ON M7A 2J3  
Tel.: 416 585-7100

777, rue Bay, 17<sup>e</sup> étage  
Toronto ON M7A 2J3  
Tél. : 416 585-7100



242-2021-10

February 7, 2022

Hello colleagues,

Recently, Premier Ford and Minister Clark met with municipal leaders at the Ontario-Municipal Housing Affordability Summit and the Rural Housing Affordability Roundtable. These conversations provided an opportunity to celebrate and share good work across jurisdictions and identify further opportunities for collaboration as the province and municipalities continue to address housing affordability.

As a follow-up to these insightful conversations, I am sharing the attached message that was sent to your Head of Council or Regional Chair. I welcome further advice about what has worked well in your municipality, and other opportunities to increase the supply and affordability of market housing. Feedback can be sent to [housingsupply@ontario.ca](mailto:housingsupply@ontario.ca) by Friday, February 15, 2022.

These are important conversations as we look forward in further collaborating with municipalities in our work towards increasing housing supply.

Best,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "K. Manson-Smith".

Kate Manson-Smith  
Deputy Minister



## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** ARB Stakeholders  
Counsel of the Assessment Bar  
Property Tax Representatives  
Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC)  
Municipalities

**FROM:** Ken Bednarek, Associate Chair and Kelly Triantafilou, Registrar

**DATE:** **February 4, 2022**

**SUBJECT:** **Appeals Resolution Strategy Update – (2017 Assessment Cycle – taxation years 2022, 2023)**

---

The Assessment Review Board (ARB or Board) is committed to fulfilling its mandate of resolving property assessment disputes within the regular four-year assessment cycle. However, with the recent announcement through the Fall Economic Statement on November 4, 2021, the general reassessment has been postponed for 2023. As the general reassessment for the 2021, 2022 and 2023 taxation years has been postponed, the common understanding is that the current assessment cycle has increased to a seven year assessment cycle with the valuation date remaining January 1, 2016.

We are writing to advise you of our expectations in resolving appeals before the next assessment cycle, including newly filed appeals. We recognize that the next assessment cycle could see an increased number of appeals, resulting in more appeals being commenced every month.

### **Current Statistics:**

As of January 1, 2022, the Board has a total of 22,340 outstanding appeals against 6,175 properties, broken down as follows:

- 7,505 original assessment appeals
- 14,835 deemed assessment appeals
- 742 tax appeals

The Board continues to evaluate its caseload and improve processes that were established at the beginning of 2017. Building on the Board's successes to date, with a continued focus on our digital-first approach to providing services, please note the following updates:

- **New Rules of Practice and Procedure** came into effect on April 1, 2021. The Board provided a consultation period from October to November 2020 for stakeholders to provide feedback and/or recommendations on updates made to the Rules. This feedback resulted in reducing the schedule of events for the general proceeding



stream (i.e. all appeals apart from residential, farm or managed forest property) from 66-104 weeks to 40-49 weeks.

- **Commencement Dates, Schedule of Events:** The Board is considering a strategy to assign a last assigned commencement date for each taxation year. This will ensure that we build in time for the resolution of appeals. The Board is also reviewing the possibility of no further changes and/or extensions to the schedule of events.
- **2022/2023 Appeals:** Upon request, all newly filed appeals for 2022 and 2023 can be assigned an expedited schedule of events. This schedule would only apply where there are no current active appeals for a property.
- **Hearing Plan Guideline:** The Board is currently developing a Hearing Plan Guideline to establish default allotments of time for examination of a witness (examination in chief, cross-examination, and reply, as well as any challenges to qualifications of an expert witness). As part of their settlement conference briefs, parties will be required to provide the Board with a list of their witnesses. At the settlement conference, a party may request additional time for examination of a particular witness, which must be approved by the Board. This measure will ensure efficiency of the hearing process.
- **Written Submissions:** Effective April 1, 2022 the Board will require that closing submissions for all general proceeding hearings be made in writing for all hearings that are scheduled for more than one day. Upon completion of hearing evidence, the Presiding Member will set a schedule for serving and filing the written submissions. For hearings scheduled less than one day, and for all summary proceeding hearings (i.e. appeals classified as residential, farm or managed forest), the requirement to provide written submissions will be at the discretion of the Presiding Member.
- **Legacy Appeals Initiative:** The Board is currently reviewing all outstanding appeals and where there has been no indication of settlement or where timelines appear to have lapsed, the Board will schedule a full hearing to adjudicate the matter. As of January 1, 2020, there were approximately 7,600 legacy appeals (i.e. appeals filed before the 2017-2021 assessment cycle) and at the beginning of this year, only 132 legacy appeals remain.
- **Tax Appeals:** Where a tax appeal is currently assigned to the general proceeding stream along with Assessment Act appeals, and the Assessment Act appeals are resolved, the Board will assign an earlier due date for filing documents to be relied on at the hearing, and schedule a summary proceeding hearing.
- **Deeming:** Any appeal proceeding that has not been resolved by March 31, 2022, will be deemed to have a 2022 appeal. The same principle applies for the 2023 appeals. All decisions that the Board issues will be applied against all appeals including deemed appeals.



- **Active Appeals:** The Board will continue to review all outstanding appeals to determine whether a case conference should be scheduled or whether the Board can set tighter timelines for the appeals.
- **Hearing Months Assigned:** All current appeals assigned to be heard by general or summary proceeding have been assigned a hearing month. Where a hearing is necessary, the parties are expected to proceed with the hearing by the assigned hearing month. Parties may request a hearing at a later date by completing an Expedited Board Directions Form. This request must be submitted within one week of the completion of the settlement conference. Where the request is granted, the Board will schedule the hearing event.
- **Hearing Timelines:** All current appeal proceedings assigned to be heard by general proceeding, which are not resolved at the Mandatory Meeting stage, will be scheduled to a settlement conference within eight weeks of the Mandatory Meeting Form due date. If the appeal is not resolved at the settlement conference, the hearing will be scheduled within eight weeks. Unless the parties have complied with the Board's Rules to elect to obtain additional expert reports, the Board requires that all parties complete all their pre-hearing work, including the exchange of disclosure, no later than the due date for filing the Mandatory Meeting Form.
- **E-filing:** Remember to e-file your appeals. Effective January 1, 2022, the Board only accepts e-filed assessment appeals and emailed tax appeals.
- **Appeal Payment Options:** Effective January 1, 2022, the ARB will no longer accept payment by way of certified cheques or money orders. The use of personal cheques was discontinued earlier this year.
- **Ongoing Digital Improvements:** Where it can, the Board will continue to reduce the use of paper documents, improve and update its processes and systems, work with parties to modernize the transfer of information, and explore ways to improve the use technology to provide faster and more efficient services.
- **Efficiencies:** The Board is currently undertaking a full review of its processes and timelines. It is also evaluating the current cycle and will establish new metrics to measure the effectiveness and timely resolution of appeals before the next cycle.

### Expectations:

The Board's goal based on the Government's announcements through the Economic Statement March 2020, Ontario Budget 2021 and the Fall Economic Statement November 2021, is to resolve at least **90%** of all appeals before the beginning of the next assessment



cycle. The Board expects that all parties will continue to work collaboratively to negotiate a resolution of their appeals.

The Board requests that all representatives review their active caseloads to assess whether there is any opportunity to expedite the completion of an appeal proceeding. If so, please contact the Registrar or submit a request for an Expedited Board Direction.

The Board will, where necessary, start scheduling hearings to ensure that appeals are adjudicated, and the matter is resolved.

As the next assessment cycle approaches, the Board will issue an updated Appeals Resolution Strategy for Active Appeals. The Board looks forward to working with all stakeholders to resolve appeals in a timely manner and appreciates your commitment and dedication in achieving this goal.

Thank you

Ken Bednarek  
Associate Chair

Kelly Triantafilou  
Registrar

February 9, 2022

**Re: Item for Discussion – Joint and Several Liability Reform (Mayor, G. Smith)**

At its meeting of February 2, 2022, the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Bracebridge ratified motions 22-PD-005, regarding the Item for Discussion – Joint and Several Liability Reform, as follows:

“WHEREAS municipal governments provide essential services to the residents and businesses in their communities;

AND WHEREAS the ability to provide those services is negatively impacted by exponentially rising insurance costs;

AND WHEREAS one driver of rising insurance costs is the legal principle of “joint and several liability”, which assigns disproportionate liability to municipalities for an incident relative to their responsibility for it;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Ontario has the authority and responsibility for the legal framework of “joint and several liability”;

AND WHEREAS the Premier of Ontario committed to review the issue in 2018 with a view to helping municipal governments manage their risks and costs;

AND WHEREAS the Provincial Review was conducted in 2019 with AMO and municipalities fully participating;

AND WHEREAS the results of the Provincial Review have not been released and municipalities are still awaiting news of how the Attorney General will address this important matter;

AND WHEREAS the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) on behalf of municipal governments has provided recommendations in their document “Towards a Reasonable Balance – Addressing Growing Municipal Liability and Insurance Costs” to align municipal liability with the proportionate responsibility for incidents and capping awards;

AND WHEREAS The Association of Municipal Managers, Clerks and Treasurers of Ontario (AMCTO) has written to the Attorney General in support of the abovementioned recommendations provided by AMO;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF BRACEBRIDGE RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Town of Bracebridge calls on the Attorney General of Ontario to work with municipal governments to put forward a plan of action to address "joint and several liability" before the end of the government's current term.
2. That the Town of Bracebridge supports the seven (7) recommendations contained in the AMO submission "Towards a Reasonable Balance – Addressing Growing Municipal Liability and Insurance Costs" to re-establish the priority for provincial action on this issue.
3. That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Attorney General, the Honourable Doug Downey; the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Honourable Steve Clark; AMO President, Jamie McGarvey, AMCTO President, Sandra MacDonald; and all Municipalities in Ontario."

In accordance with Council's direction I am forwarding you a copy of the resolution for you reference.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can provide any additional clarification in this regard.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "L. McDonald". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Lori McDonald  
Director of Corporate Services/Clerk

**From:** [Rich G](#)  
**To:** [Cindy Pigeau](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Info on Cassellholme  
**Date:** Monday, February 7, 2022 10:31:56 PM

---

Thanks Cindy,

The following is an addendum that you may wish to add to the information letter. It just describes the section of the provincial law that applies to the weighted assessment: It is near the end of the Act just before the schedules that describe the six municipal board homes -- one of which is Cassellholme.

Cassellholme addendum.

The weighted assessment that we should fight is part of the provincial Long Term Care Homes Act 2007. This is a provincial legislation. If you read the act, it describes the distribution of costs relating to operating expenses. The idea of using it to cover a twenty five year mortgage is at best ambiguous. Here is the act:

79/10 General under Long Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007 c.9 December 14, 2021.

In section 295, subsection (1) we find

Amounts that supporting municipalities are required to pay to a board under sections 126 and 127 of the Act shall be apportioned, correct to three decimal places, among the supporting municipalities in the proportion of the amount of the total weighted assessment of each municipality to the total weighted assessment of all the supporting municipalities. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 295 (1).

Later in subsection (5) we find:

“weighted assessment” means

(a) with respect to a property that is in a subclass to which section 313 of the Municipal Act, 2001 applies, the taxable assessment or exempt assessment subject to a payment in lieu for the property, according to the annual return for the year prior to the previous year provided to the Minister under section 294 of the Municipal Act, 2001, reduced by the percentage reduction that applies to the tax rate for properties of that subclass and multiplied by the tax ratio of the property class that the property is in established under section 308 of the Municipal Act, 2001 for that year, and

(b) with respect to any other property, the taxable assessment or exempt assessment subject to a payment in lieu, according to the annual return for the year prior to the previous year provided to the Minister under section 294 of the Municipal Act, 2001 or equivalent assessment for a property, multiplied by the tax ratio of the property class that the property is in established under section 308 of the Municipal Act, 2001 for that year.

(“évaluation pondérée”) O. Reg. 79/10, s. 295 (5).

**From:** [Aleysha Blake](#)  
**To:** [Cindy Pigeau](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Info on Cassellholme  
**Date:** Monday, February 7, 2022 2:15:26 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Rich G [<mailto:rich@canadianpublishing.com>]  
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 2:08 PM  
To: Aleysha Blake <[administration@calvintownship.ca](mailto:administration@calvintownship.ca)>  
Subject: Info on Cassellholme

Hi Cindy,

So sorry to hear you are leaving us. I wish you good luck in your future ventures

I wonder if you can add the following to an upcoming council meeting.

Thanks,  
Richard.

February 7, 2022

Open letter to the Calvin Council

I understand that Mayor Pennell feels that council does not require my assistance with Cassellholme, but I would still like to make one last suggestion.

I had worked with Councillor Cross to add the resolution to the agenda, to have the weighted assessment adjusted. After our meeting with Vic Fedeli, I realized that the best we could hope for was the dissolving of the "provincially mandated municipal board" and the creation of a new "non-profit board". This is now in the works. That's good news.

Nevertheless, the financial burden still exists. It was clear that the only way we lessen the future financial impact on the township is to change the provincially-legislated weighted assessment. This weighted assessment left Calvin paying a higher percentage on a per capita basis and was unfair because it was heavily influenced by the revenue by the natural gas pipeline. Pipelines are carbon based industries and will be affected by Government controls.

I see that this resolution has now been changed to include the weighted assessment for all boards. This would include social services DNSSAB and others. Although, there is no doubt that it would be great to have the weighted assessment changed for all boards, it may not be politically expedient to push for this at this time. With regard to other boards, if the weighted assessment changes, other townships would have to pick up the costs that we would no longer pay. The weighted assessment is based on current taxation and current expenditures. It is for this reason that I respectfully request that you focus on Cassellholme assessment.

In discussion with Vic Fedeli, we stated that the weighted assessment in relation Cassellholme was invalid because it was using the current economic realities to calculate expenditure for next twenty-five years. If our contribution remains constant but the economic reality changes, we will be in trouble. The weighted assessment of today will likely not be valid

fifteen to twenty years in the future. I argued this point, and although

Vic Fedeli did not agree that the pipeline revenue will decrease in the coming decades, (he suggested that natural gas would be needed as a backup for windmills), he did realise his argument was weak and not based on hard evidence.

In order to have the Cassellholme weighted assessment changed Calvin must approach the provincial government for assistance. This has to be a direct Calvin Township initiative since this is a move that will only benefit the

people of Calvin. With a petition signed by the majority of the residents and the argument that the weighted assessment is not fair with relation to a loan amortised over twenty-five years, the council has a unique opportunity to have this changed, but only if they focus on the weighted assessment in relation to Cassellholme. The difference between 2.4 and 1.6 would be enormous.

I hope you will consider this action to help mitigate future costs.

Respectfully,  
Richard Gould.



**RE: Gypsy Moth Spraying**

**JANUARY 19, 2022**

At its meeting of January 17, 2022, the Council of the Township of Limerick passed a motion in regard to the Gypsy Moth Concentration and Control Measures;

“WHEREAS the Gypsy Moth defoliation in Limerick Township has caused significant damage, with Limerick Township reportedly having the highest concentration of Gypsy moths in Hastings County due to the Rural nature of the Township; and

WHEREAS the reported responses from the public do not present an accurate picture of the devastation as the geographical area is quite large in relation to the low population of Limerick Township;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Council of the Township of Limerick request that the County of Hastings consider the impact of the Gypsy Moth Caterpillars on the rural communities across Ontario, not only through online reporting but also taking into consideration the land mass associated with each municipality. For example, one property owner recently purchased 27,000 acres of land, but was only able to submit 1 report for the entire property.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the population of seasonal residents not reporting in the area during the off season also be taken into consideration, as there is potential that they are unaware of the reporting process.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Hastings take the necessary steps to accurately interpret the devastation of the Gypsy Moth Caterpillar by way of geographical consideration along with the online reporting method to ensure accurate and beneficial spraying to combat this problem is undertaken.”

Please reach out to the Township Clerk with any additional questions at 613-474-2863.

Sincerely,

Victoria Tisdale

Victoria Tisdale, Clerk Treasurer  
[clerk@township.limerick.on.ca](mailto:clerk@township.limerick.on.ca)  
Telephone: 613-474-2863  
Fax: 613-474-0478  
0478

Nicole Ilcio, Deputy Clerk Treasurer  
[assistant@township.limerick.on.ca](mailto:assistant@township.limerick.on.ca)  
Telephone: 613-474-2863  
Fax: 613-474-

February 9, 2022

The Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario  
Via Email

**Re: Dissolve Ontario Land Tribunal**

Please be advised that Council for the Town of Halton Hills at its meeting of Monday, February 7, 2022, adopted the following Resolution:

**Resolution No. 2022-0020**

WHEREAS Municipalities across this province collectively spend millions of dollars of taxpayer money and municipal resources developing Official Plans that meet current Provincial Planning Policy;

AND WHEREAS an Official Plan is developed through months of public consultation to ensure, "that future planning and development will meet the specific needs of the Town of Halton Hills community";

AND WHEREAS our Official Plan includes provisions that encourage development of the "missing middle" or "gentle density" to meet the need for attainable housing in our community;

AND WHEREAS our Official Plan is ultimately approved by the province;

AND WHEREAS it is within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to approve Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that better the community or fit within the vision of Town of Halton Hills Official Plan;

AND WHEREAS it is also within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to deny Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that do not better the community or do not fit within the vision of the Town of Halton Hills Official Plan;

AND WHEREAS municipal planning decisions may be appealed to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT; formerly the Ontario Municipal Board or "OMB"), an unelected, appointed body that is not accountable to the residents of the Town of Halton Hills;

AND WHEREAS the OLT has the authority to make a final decision on planning matters based on a "best planning outcome" and not whether the proposed development is in compliance with municipal Official Plans;

---

**1 Halton Hills Drive, Halton Hills, Ontario L7G 5G2**

Tel: 905-873-2600

Toll Free: 1-877-712-2205  
haltonhills.ca

Fax: 905-873-2347

AND WHEREAS all decisions—save planning decisions—made by Municipal Council are only subject to appeal by judicial review and such appeals are limited to questions of law and or process;

AND WHEREAS Ontario is the only province in Canada that empowers a separate adjudicative tribunal to review and overrule local decisions applying provincially approved plans;

AND WHEREAS towns and cities across this Province are repeatedly forced to spend millions of dollars defending Official Plans that have already been approved by the province in expensive, time consuming and ultimately futile OLT hearings;

AND WHEREAS lengthy, costly OLT hearings add years to the development approval process and act as a barrier to the development of attainable housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council for the Town of Halton Hills requests the Government of Ontario to dissolve the OLT immediately thereby eliminating one of the most significant sources of red tape delaying the development of more attainable housing in Ontario;

AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Leader of the Opposition, the Leaders of the Liberal and Green Party, all MPPs in the Province of Ontario; the Large Urban Mayors' Caucus of Ontario, the Small Urban GTHA Mayors and Regional Chairs of Ontario;

AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and all Ontario municipalities for their consideration.

**CARRIED**

Attached for your information is a copy of Resolution No. 2022-0020.

If you have any questions, please contact Valerie Petryniak, Town Clerk for the Town of Halton Hills at 905-873-2600 ext. 2331 or [valeriep@haltonhills.ca](mailto:valeriep@haltonhills.ca).

Yours truly,



Melissa Lawr  
Deputy Clerk – Legislation

**1 Halton Hills Drive, Halton Hills, Ontario L7G 5G2**

Tel: 905-873-2600

Toll Free: 1-877-712-2205  
haltonhills.ca

Fax: 905-873-2347

- cc. Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing  
Leader of the Opposition  
Leaders of the Liberal and Green Party  
MPPs in the Province of Ontario  
Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of Ontario  
Small Urban GTHA Mayors  
Regional Chairs of Ontario  
Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO)  
All Ontario Municipalities

**1 Halton Hills Drive, Halton Hills, Ontario L7G 5G2**

---

Tel: 905-873-2600

Toll Free: 1-877-712-2205  
haltonhills.ca

Fax: 905-873-2347



**THE CORPORATION  
OF  
THE TOWN OF HALTON HILLS**

**Resolution No.:** 2022-0020

**Title:** Dissolve Ontario Land Tribunal

**Date:** February 7, 2022

**Moved by:** Mayor R. Bonnette

**Seconded by:** Councillor C. Somerville

---

**Item No. 15.2**

WHEREAS Municipalities across this province collectively spend millions of dollars of taxpayer money and municipal resources developing Official Plans that meet current Provincial Planning Policy;

AND WHEREAS an Official Plan is developed through months of public consultation to ensure, "that future planning and development will meet the specific needs of the Town of Halton Hills community";

AND WHEREAS our Official Plan includes provisions that encourage development of the "missing middle" or "gentle density" to meet the need for attainable housing in our community;

AND WHEREAS our Official Plan is ultimately approved by the province;

AND WHEREAS it is within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to approve Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that better the community or fit within the vision of Town of Halton Hills Official Plan;

AND WHEREAS it is also within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to deny Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that do not better the community or do not fit within the vision of the Town of Halton Hills Official Plan;

AND WHEREAS municipal planning decisions may be appealed to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT; formerly the Ontario Municipal Board or “OMB”), an unelected, appointed body that is not accountable to the residents of the Town of Halton Hills;

AN WHEREAS the OLT has the authority to make a final decision on planning matters based on a “best planning outcome” and not whether the proposed development is in compliance with municipal Official Plans;

AND WHEREAS all decisions—save planning decisions—made by Municipal Council are only subject to appeal by judicial review and such appeals are limited to questions of law and or process;

AND WHEREAS Ontario is the only province in Canada that empowers a separate adjudicative tribunal to review and overrule local decisions applying provincially approved plans;

AND WHEREAS towns and cities across this Province are repeatedly forced to spend millions of dollars defending Official Plans that have already been approved by the province in expensive, time consuming and ultimately futile OLT hearings;

AND WHEREAS lengthy, costly OLT hearings add years to the development approval process and act as a barrier to the development of attainable housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council for the Town of Halton Hills requests the Government of Ontario to dissolve the OLT immediately thereby eliminating one of the most significant sources of red tape delaying the development of more attainable housing in Ontario;

AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Leader of the Opposition, the Leaders of the Liberal and Green Party, all MPPs in the Province of Ontario; the Large Urban Mayors’ Caucus of Ontario, the Small Urban GTHA Mayors and Regional Chairs of Ontario;

AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and all Ontario municipalities for their consideration.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rick Bonnette", written over a horizontal line.

Mayor Rick Bonnette

February 08, 2022

**SENT ELECTRONICALLY**

Ms. Cindy Pigeau  
Clerk / Treasurer  
Municipality of Calvin  
1355 Peddlers Drive, RR#2  
Mattawa, ON POH 1V0

Dear Ms. Pigeau:

**Re: 2022 Municipal Levy**

Your **2022 Municipal Levy Information Package** is attached. The 2022 cost-shared budget was prepared based on the 2021 provincially approved funding including provincial mitigation funding for 2021, with an increase of 3.28% in the levy.

The mitigation funding from the Province covers the additional municipal cost due to the change in the cost-sharing formula to 30 municipal / 70 provincial. This change would have resulted in a 42% increase in the levy. The Province provided this transition funding to eliminate the 2022 increase for municipalities. It also covers the reduction to the base funding of \$369,710 that was also introduced at that time.

There is no indication at this time that there will be further transitional funding in 2023, so it would be prudent for municipalities to plan for an approximate 50% increase in 2023 on a similar shareable base of \$17,056,938.

The years 2020 and 2021 were extraordinary ones for public health, and uncertainty persists in 2022, as the fight against COVID-19 continues. Understandably, the pandemic has interrupted discussions with the Province about public health modernization, so no new information is available on this topic.

It is more important than ever for the Health Unit to stay focused on our mission “to foster healthy living within our communities by preventing illness, promoting healthy choices, and providing trusted support and information”. This year’s budget allows this important work to continue at the current level. In 2021, the Health Unit received approximately \$4.3 Million in COVID funding by the province. In 2022, a similar request will be made for 100% provincial funding to cover the costs of COVID-19 that cannot be funded through cost-shared mandatory funding.

In accordance with the Board of Health Municipal Reserve policy, B-F-007, municipalities will be updated on

.../2

the 2021 year-end status of the municipal reserve following the audit process in April. The reserve balance at November 30, 2021, was \$1,792,020.

To learn more about your public health unit, COVID-19, and other public health activities and reports, refer to the North Bay Parry Sound District Health Unit website at [www.myhealthunit.ca](http://www.myhealthunit.ca). The website is completely searchable and contains information on a wide range of health topics.

The following information is attached:

- Appendix A 2022 Levy Payment Schedule
- Appendix B 2022 Board of Health Approved Budget Summary Sheet
- Appendix C Municipal Levy & Population Comparison for 2021/2022

Please do not hesitate to contact Isabel Churcher, Executive Director, Finance, at (705) 499-6016, if you have any questions.

Yours truly,



Nancy Jacko  
Chairperson, Board of Health

Enclosures (3)

Copy to: Isabel Churcher, Executive Director, Finance  
Dr. Jim Chirico, Medical Officer of Health/Executive Officer  
Board of Health

# 2022 Board of Health Public Health Budget

## Table I Budget Summary Sheet

Appendix B  
Approved BOH January 26, 2022

Budget Summary	Reconciled Budget 2021	Forecast 2021	Budget 2022	Notes
<b>Total Expenses*</b>	<b>21,515,201</b>	<b>25,659,465</b>	<b>22,309,044</b>	<b>1</b>
Less Program Revenues*	412,325	434,569	359,800	2
<b>Net Expenses</b>	<b>21,102,876</b>	<b>25,224,896</b>	<b>21,949,244</b>	
Less: 100% Funding and One-Time Funding and Grants*	4,434,309	8,556,329	4,892,306	3
<b>Total Shareable Base (see breakdown below)</b>	<b>16,668,567</b>	<b>16,668,567</b>	<b>17,056,938</b>	
<b>Mitigation Funding for Base Reduction</b>	<b>369,710</b>	<b>369,710</b>	<b>369,710</b>	
<b>Net Shareable Base</b>	<b>16,298,857</b>	<b>16,298,857</b>	<b>16,687,228</b>	
Ministry of Health (70% as of 2020)	11,409,200	11,409,200	11,681,060	
Municipal Share (30% as of 2020)	4,889,657	4,889,657	5,006,168	
Less: One Time Funding - Public Health Mitigation	-1,422,690	-1,422,690	-1,422,690	
Plus: 100% Municipal - Adult Dental	80,780	80,780	80,780	
Net Municipal Levy	3,547,747	3,547,747	3,664,258	
Per Capita Municipal Population	98,769	98,769	98,769	4
Per Capita Rate	35.92	35.92	37.10	5

Notes for Budget Summary	
1	Total expenses includes the cost of all Health Unit programs and services.
2	Program revenues are generated through payments from the public or the government on a fee-for-service basis.
3	The forecast for 2021 includes all usual 100% funded programs from multiple sources. This year is much higher as it includes all COVID-19 general and vaccination funding from the Ministry of Health.
4	As per the <i>Health Protection and Promotion Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. H.7, O. Reg. 489-97 Allocation of Board of Health Expenses, populations are based on current (2018) Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) enumeration data.
5	The per capita rate is based on the shareable base less mitigation funding. The budget rate is based on a 2.33% increase in the budget and assumes matching provincial funding.

# North Bay Parry Sound District Health Unit

## Municipal Levy and Population Comparison 2021-2022

Appendix C  
Approved BOH January 26, 2022

Municipality	2021 Revised Levy			2022 Levy			Difference
	*MPAC		Total \$	*MPAC		Total \$	
	Population 2018			Population 2018			
%	#		%	#			
Armour	1.14	1,126	\$ 40,445	1.14	1,126	\$ 41,774	1,329
Bonfield	1.85	1,832	\$ 65,804	1.85	1,832	\$ 67,966	2,162
Burk's Falls	0.72	708	\$ 25,431	0.72	708	\$ 26,266	835
Callander	3.49	3,444	\$ 123,707	3.49	3,444	\$ 127,770	4,063
Calvin	0.48	477	\$ 17,134	0.48	477	\$ 17,696	562
Carling	1.24	1,220	\$ 43,821	1.24	1,220	\$ 45,261	1,440
Chisholm	1.18	1,161	\$ 41,702	1.18	1,161	\$ 43,072	1,370
East Ferris	4.27	4,219	\$ 151,545	4.27	4,219	\$ 156,522	4,977
Joly	0.23	223	\$ 8,010	0.23	223	\$ 8,273	263
Kearney	0.70	694	\$ 24,928	0.70	694	\$ 25,747	819
Machar	0.77	761	\$ 27,334	0.77	761	\$ 28,233	899
Magnetawan	1.18	1,167	\$ 41,918	1.18	1,167	\$ 43,295	1,377
Mattawa	1.83	1,808	\$ 64,943	1.83	1,808	\$ 67,075	2,132
Mattawan	0.14	142	\$ 5,100	0.14	142	\$ 5,267	167
McDougall	2.29	2,266	\$ 81,394	2.29	2,266	\$ 84,067	2,673
McKellar	1.08	1,066	\$ 38,290	1.08	1,066	\$ 39,548	1,258
McMurrich/Monteith	0.65	641	\$ 23,025	0.65	641	\$ 23,781	756
Nipissing	1.55	1,527	\$ 54,849	1.55	1,527	\$ 56,651	1,802
North Bay	44.37	43,828	\$ 1,574,289	44.37	43,828	\$ 1,625,987	51,698
Papineau-Cameron	0.82	810	\$ 29,095	0.82	810	\$ 30,050	955
Parry Sound	5.02	4,958	\$ 178,090	5.02	4,958	\$ 183,938	5,848
Perry	1.83	1,805	\$ 64,834	1.83	1,805	\$ 66,964	2,130
Powassan	3.01	2,975	\$ 106,861	3.01	2,975	\$ 110,370	3,509
Ryerson	0.56	550	\$ 19,756	0.56	550	\$ 20,405	649
Seguin	3.31	3,272	\$ 117,529	3.31	3,272	\$ 121,389	3,860
South River	0.90	892	\$ 32,040	0.90	892	\$ 33,093	1,053
Strong	1.24	1,222	\$ 43,894	1.24	1,222	\$ 45,335	1,441
Sundridge	0.82	808	\$ 29,023	0.82	808	\$ 29,976	953
The Archipelago	0.72	711	\$ 25,539	0.72	711	\$ 26,378	839
West Nipissing	11.78	11,635	\$ 417,926	11.78	11,635	\$ 431,650	13,724
Whitestone	0.83	821	\$ 29,491	0.83	821	\$ 30,459	968
Totals	100.00	98,769	\$ 3,547,747	100.00	98,769	\$ 3,664,258	116,511
<b>Municipal Share of Budget</b>			<b>\$3,547,747</b>			<b>\$3,664,258</b>	
<b>Per Capita</b>			<b>\$35.92</b>			<b>\$37.10</b>	

\* Municipal Property Assessment Corporation Municipal Reserve Balance at November 30, 2021 \$1,792,020.31

**Mattawa & Area Police Services Board**  
(Group of 4)  
P. O. Box 390, Mattawa, ON P0H 1V0

---

January 3, 2022

Municipality of Calvin  
1355 Peddlers Drive  
Mattawa, ON  
P0H 1V0

Dear Mayor Pennell and Members of Council:

Re: 2022 Mattawa & Area Police Services Board Levy Invoice

The Mattawa and Area Police Services Board is requesting financial assistance for the operating expenses of the Board for the current year.

The funding formula for 2022 remains the same as requested in previous years:

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>2022 Levy</b>
Town of Mattawa	\$780.00
Municipality of Mattawan	\$300.00
Municipality of Calvin	\$460.00
Township of Papineau-Cameron	\$460.00
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>\$2,000.00</u></b>

Thank you for your consideration in this matter and please remit the \$460.00 levy payment at your earliest convenience.

Yours truly,



Amy Leclerc  
Secretary-Treasurer

- 
- |                          |                                |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ▪ Town of Mattawa        | ▪ Township of Papineau-Cameron |
| ▪ Municipality of Calvin | ▪ Municipality of Mattawan     |